

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO	).	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/634,961		08/05/2003	Peter M. Palese	6923-115	7104	
20583	7590	06/21/2005		EXAM	INER	-
JONES D			MOSHER, MARY			
222 EAST NEW YOR			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	-	
	_ <b>_,</b>			1648		
				DATE MAILED: 06/21/2005	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application	n No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summa		10/634,96	1	PALESE ET AL.
		Examiner	, <u> </u>	Art Unit
		Mary E. M	osher, Ph.D.	1648
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commun or Reply	nication appears on the	cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
THE   - External after - If the - If NO - Failur Any (	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUN asions of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this com period for reply specified above is the maximum si re to reply within the set or extended period for reply reply received by the Office later than three months and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	IICATION. s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no eve munication. 30) days, a reply within the statu tatutory period will apply and wil y will, by statute, cause the appl	nt, however, may a reply be tin tory minimum of thirty (30) day I expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cation to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status				
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on <u>09 February 200</u>	<u>05</u> .	
2a)□	This action is FINAL.	2b)⊠ This action is ne	on-final.	
3)	Since this application is in condition	for allowance except	for formal matters, pro	osecution as to the merits is
	closed in accordance with the pract	ice under <i>Ex part</i> e Qu	ayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.
Dispositi	ion of Claims			
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 6-10 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/a Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 6-10 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to.	are withdrawn from cor		
ا(٥	Claim(s) are subject to restrict	ction and/or election re	equirement.	
Applicati	ion Papers			
	The specification is objected to by the			
10)[	The drawing(s) filed on is/are			
	Applicant may not request that any obje	<del>-</del> , ,	•	, ,
111	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including The oath or declaration is objected t	•	=	•
·	•	o by the Examiner. No	te the attached Office	Action of form F 10-132.
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119			
a)(	Acknowledgment is made of a claim All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority 2. Certified copies of the priority 3. Copies of the certified copies application from the Internationsee the attached detailed Office actions	documents have bee documents have bee of the priority documents have bee	n received. n received in Applicat ents have been receive e 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summary	
2) Notice 3) Inform	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (I mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or r No(s)/Mail Date <u>8/5/2003</u> .		Paper No(s)/Mail D	
S. Patent and T TOL-326 (R	rademark Office Lev. 1-04)	Office Action Summa	ry Pa	art of Paper No./Mail Date 200506

Application/Control Number: 10/634,961

Art Unit: 1648

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

Claims 8-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In these claims, it is not clear what "NS1," "E3L," or "VP35" mean, since the claims do not identify the origin or source of these proteins. This rejection could be obviated by amending the claims to refer respectively to influenza virus NS 1, vaccinia virus E3L, and Ebola virus VP35.

Claims 6-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. Claim 6 involves a cell that expresses an interferon-responsive reporter gene and also expresses "an interferon antagonist." The "interferon antagonist" constitutes a genus of products. The specification teaches a variety of interferon antagonists encoded by viruses, but provides no disclosure whatsoever regarding the broader genus of "interferon antagonists." The viral interferon antagonists are diverse, indicating even broader diversity within the broader genus. Considering the scope of the claims in contrast to the scope of the supporting disclosure, it is concluded that the specification does not reasonably convey possession of the assay using the generic "interferon antagonist."

Application/Control Number: 10/634,961 Page 3

Art Unit: 1648

Claims 6-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification, while being enabling for a method involving expression of a viral interferon antagonist, does not reasonably provide enablement for the broader genus using a generic interferon antagonist. The specification does not enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the invention commensurate in scope with these claims. These claims are directed to a screening method for identifying a potential antiviral agent, by testing a compound's ability to counteract an interferon antagonist. This method would clearly work to identify potential antiviral agents when the interferon antagonist is a viral product, but the specification provides no guidance to indicate how a nonviral interferon antagonist would be used to identify antiviral agents. Considering the scope of the claimed method and the limited disclosure in the specification, it is concluded that undue experimentation would be required to enable the full scope of the method as claimed.

Katze et al US 6,326,151 is seen as the closest prior art, in teaching a screening method for identifying potential antiviral agents using the interaction between HCV NS5A and PKR protein kinase, which is involved in cellular interferon response. However, Katze monitors kinase activity indirectly or directly, and does not teach or suggest monitoring expression of a reporter gene operatively linked to an interferon responsive promoter element.

## Conclusion

Application/Control Number: 10/634,961 Page 4

Art Unit: 1648

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mary E. Mosher, Ph.D. whose telephone number is 571-272-0906. The examiner can normally be reached on M-T and alternate F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James Housel can be reached on 571-272-0902. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

6/20/05

MARY E. MOSHER, PH.D. PRIMARY EXAMINER